

RE Suggested Modification to RB Amendment.txt

From: Jerry Johnson [JJohnson@oktax.state.ok.us]

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 1:59 PM

To: 'TERRY GARBER'; 'Diane Hardt'

Subject: RE: Suggested Modification to R&B Amendment

Terry, thanks for the changes. They look good to me.

Diane, can you distribute this revised version and Terry's original message in your next installment of attachments.

Thanks

Jerry

-----Original Message-----

From: TERRY GARBER [mailto:GARBERT@sctax.org]

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2005 12:49 PM

To: Jjohnson@oktax.state.ok.us; scott.peterson@state.sd.us

Subject: Suggested Modification to R&B Amendment

Jerry,

At the recent TIGERS meeting several folks noted that your amendment on Rates and Boundaries, if taken literally, implies that there would be a separate address database from the zip/zip+4 database, in an entirely different record format.

The TIGERS work has developed a common database record format for address-level, zip-level, and zip+4-level records, so that they can all reside within the same database in a standard format. This is what we published in our revision of the R&B white paper, and what states are implementing.

For this reason, we are suggesting the attached modification to your proposed amendment. The intent is still the same, to allow states to provide and require use of addressed-based jurisdiction sourcing, but it is now compatible with the technology that is being developed.

Would you please review the attached, and let me know if you have any questions? Would you consider this modification to your amendment?

Thanks for your consideration,
TG

Terry Garber
South Carolina Department of Revenue
Phone: (803) 898-5521
Email: garbert@sctax.org
Visit the SC portal at www.mySCgov.com!