Section 312: MULTIPLE POINTS OF USE (Repealed on December 14, 2006)

Compiler’s note: The following is the section that would have gone into effect on January 1, 2008 had it not been repealed:

Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 310, a business purchaser that is not a holder of a direct pay permit that knows at the time of its purchase of a digital good, computer software, or a service that the digital good, computer software, or service will be concurrently available for use in more than one jurisdiction shall deliver to the seller in conjunction with its purchase an exemption certificate claiming multiple points of use or meet the requirements of Section 312, subsections (B) or (C). Computer software, for purposes of this section includes, but is not limited to computer software delivered electronically, by load and leave, or in tangible form. Computer software received in-person by a business purchaser at a business location of the seller is not included. Upon receipt of an exemption certificate claiming multiple points of use, the seller is relieved of all obligation to collect, pay, or remit the applicable tax and the purchaser shall be obligated to collect, pay, or remit the applicable tax on a direct pay basis.

A purchaser delivering an exemption certificate claiming multiple points of use may use any reasonable, but consistent and uniform, method of apportionment that is supported by the purchaser's books and records as they exist at the time the transaction is reported for sales or use tax purposes.

A purchaser delivering an exemption certificate claiming multiple points of use shall report and pay the appropriate tax to each jurisdiction where concurrent use occurs. The tax due will be calculated as if the apportioned amount of the digital good, computer software or service had been delivered to each jurisdiction to which the sale is apportioned pursuant to Section 312, subdivision (A)(2).

The exemption certificate claiming multiple points of use will remain in effect for all future sales by the seller to the purchaser (except as to the subsequent sale's specific apportionment that is governed by the principles of Section 312, subdivisions (A)(2) and (A)(3)) until it is revoked in writing.

Notwithstanding Section 312, subsection (A), when the seller knows that the product will be concurrently available for use in more than one jurisdiction, but the purchaser does not provide an exemption certificate claiming multiple points of use as required in subsection (A), the seller may work with the purchaser to produce the correct apportionment. The purchaser and seller may use any reasonable, but consistent and uniform, method of apportionment that is supported by the seller’s and purchaser’s business records as they exist at the time the transaction is reported for sales or use tax purposes. If the purchaser certifies to the accuracy of the apportionment and the seller accepts the certification, the seller shall collect and remit the tax pursuant to Section 312, subdivision (A)(3). In the absence of bad faith, the seller is relieved of any further obligation to collect tax on any transaction where the seller has collected tax pursuant to the information certified by the purchaser.
When the seller knows that the product will be concurrently available for use in more than one jurisdiction and the purchaser does not have a direct pay permit and does not provide the seller with an exemption certificate claiming multiple points of use exemption as required in Section 312, subsection (A), or certification pursuant to Section 312, subsection (B), the seller shall collect and remit the tax based on the provisions of Section 310.

A holder of a direct pay permit shall not be required to deliver an exemption certificate claiming multiple points of use to the seller. A direct pay permit holder shall follow the provisions of Section 312 subdivisions (A)(2) and (A)(3) of this section in apportioning the tax due on a digital good, computer software, or a service that will be concurrently available for use in more than one jurisdiction.

Nothing in this section shall limit a person’s obligation for sales or use tax to any state in which the qualifying purchases are concurrently available for use, nor limit a person’s ability under local, state, federal, or constitutional law, to claim a credit for sales or use taxes legally due and paid to other jurisdictions.

Compiler’s note: The following is the section as first enacted:

Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 310, a business purchaser that is not a holder of a direct pay permit that knows at the time of its purchase of a digital good, computer software delivered electronically, or a service that the digital good, computer software delivered electronically, or service will be concurrently available for use in more than one jurisdiction shall deliver to the seller in conjunction with its purchase a form disclosing this fact ("Multiple Points of Use or MPU” Exemption Form).

A. Upon receipt of the MPU Exemption Form, the seller is relieved of all obligation to collect, pay, or remit the applicable tax and the purchaser shall be obligated to collect, pay, or remit the applicable tax on a direct pay basis.

B. A purchaser delivering the MPU Exemption Form may use any reasonable, but consistent and uniform, method of apportionment that is supported by the purchaser's business records as they exist at the time of the consummation of the sale.

C. The MPU Exemption Form will remain in effect for all future sales by the seller to the purchaser (except as to the subsequent sale's specific apportionment that is governed by the principle of subsection (B) and the facts existing at the time of the sale) until it is revoked in writing.

D. A holder of a direct pay permit shall not be required to deliver a MPU Exemption Form to the seller. A direct pay permit holder shall follow the provisions of subsection (B) in apportioning the tax due on a digital good or a service that will be concurrently available for use in more than one jurisdiction.